

**CLERK'S MINUTE SHEET**  
**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO (AT ALBUQUERQUE)**

Before the Honorable Laura Fashing, United States Magistrate Judge

**Arraignment**

Case Number:	CR 25-1338 DHU	UNITED STATES vs. MUNIZ	
Hearing Date:	May 20, 2025	Time In and Out:	9:45-9:46
Courtroom Deputy:	N. Maestas	Courtroom:	Rio Grande
Defendant:	Lory Ladd Muniz	Defendant's Counsel:	Buck Glanz
AUSA:	Nicholas Marshall	Pretrial/Probation:	
Interpreter:		Witness:	

**Proceedings**

<input type="checkbox"/>	First Appearance by Defendant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Defendant waived appearance at Arraignment		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant received a copy of charging document		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant questioned re: time to consult with attorney regarding penalties		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant waives reading of Indictment		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant enters a Not Guilty plea		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motions due by: Monday, June 09, 2025		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Parties agree Standing Discovery Order to be electronically entered	<input type="checkbox"/> Discovery Order previously entered	<input type="checkbox"/> Discovery Order not entered; parties to confer pursuant to Rule 16.1(a) within 14 days
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Case assigned to: Judge Urias		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Trial will be scheduled by presiding judge	<input type="checkbox"/>	Trial currently set
<input type="checkbox"/>	Defendant waives right to contest detention		
<input type="checkbox"/>			

**Custody Status**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant previously detained	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conditions	

**Other**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Defendant waives personal presence at hearing/Court accepts Defendant's waiver
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pursuant to the Due Process Protections Act, Court confirms the United States obligation to produce all exculpatory evidence to the defendant pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and its progeny, and orders it to do so.